

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET N
07/605 004	012 / 02 / 03		
07/695,201	05/02/91	HIGUCHI	R 2599 EXAMINER
			PROUTY, R
STACEV O	CIAC CU S	18M2/0722	
	SIAS, PH.D. ROCHE INC.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
340 KINGSL	AND STREET		21
NUTLEY, NO	07110		1814
		•	DATE MAILED:
his is a communication from to OMMISSIONER OF PATENT		ur application.	0.7722793
		!	
		,	
(🗛	(- · ·	5/24/93 This action is made fina
This application has be	en examined LX	Responsive to communication filed on	7/47/X
shortened statutory perio	d for response to this a	ction is set to expire 3 mor	nth(s), days from the date of this le
		III cause the application to become abando	ned. 35 U.S.C. 133
ert I THE FOLLOWIN	G ATTACHMENT/S\ AI	RE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
_	• •	_	
1. Notice of Refere	ed by Applicant, PTO-1	7, P10-892. 2. L. Notice ro 449. 4. Notice o	e Patent Drawing, PTO-948. f Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.
5. Information on I	low to Effect Drawing C	hanges, PTO-1474. 6	The state of the s
rt II SUMMARY OF	ACTION		
1. X Claims	1-22		are pending in the applic
,			
Of the ab	ove, claims		are withdrawn from consider
2. Claims			have been cancelled.
3. Claims			are allowed.
4. Di Ctalms/	-22-		are rejected.
4. 🕅 Ctalms/			
4. Claims /	-22		are rejected.
4.	-22		are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requiremen
4.	-22		are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requiremen
4.	-22	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1,85 which a	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requiremen
4. Claims	has been filed with infor	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1,85 which a se to this Office action.	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes.
4. Claims 5. Claims 6. Claims 7. This application 8. Formal drawings 9. The corrected or	has been filed with infor	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1,85 which a se to this Office action.	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
4. Claims	has been filed with infor	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1,85 which a se to this Office action.	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
Claims Claims Claims Claims This application Formal drawings The corrected or are accepts The proposed as	has been filed with infor	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. I've been received on to (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawinest(s) of drawings, filed on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948).
Claims Claims Claims Claims This application Formal drawings The corrected or are accepts The proposed as	has been filed with infor	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. I've been received on to (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawinest(s) of drawings, filed on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948).
4. Claims 5. Claims 6. Claims 7. This application 8. Formal drawings 9. The corrected or are accepts 10. The proposed acceptance 11. The proposed acceptance	has been filed with information are required in responsions substitute drawings habite. In not acceptable iditional or substitute still sapproved by the example.	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. Ive been received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the
Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims This application Claims The corrected or are accept Claims The proposed accept The proposed definition The propose	has been filed with information are required in responsion substitute drawings has able. In not acceptable iditional or substitute at isapproved by the example awing correction, filed or	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. In the bean received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the proved. disapproved (see explanation).
Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims This application Claims The corrected or are accept Claims The proposed accept The proposed definition The propose	has been filed with information are required in responsion substitute drawings has able. In not acceptable iditional or substitute at isapproved by the example awing correction, filed or	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. In the been received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the proved disapproved (see explanation). ppy has been received not been received.
Claims Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected or are accepts The proposed accepts The proposed accepts Acknowledgment Acknowledgment	has been filed with information are required in responsion substitute drawings has able. In not acceptable iditional or substitute at isapproved by the example awing correction, filed or	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which a se to this Office action. Ive been received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the proved disapproved (see explanation). ppy has been received not been received.
Claims	has been filed with information are required in responsion substitute drawings has able. In not acceptable iditional or substitute at isapproved by the example awing correction, filed on the claim for parent application, serting the substitution of the claim for parent application, serting the substitution of the claim for parent application, serting the substitution of the claim for parent application, serting the substitution of the claim for parent application, serting the substitution of the subst	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. The been received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ling, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the proved. disapproved (see explanation). ppy has been received not been received in
Claims	has been filed with information are required in response substitute drawings habite. In not acceptable iditional or substitute at leapproved by the example awing correction, filed of it is made of the claim for parent application, sertiation appears to be in cattle of the control of the control of the claim for parent application, sertiation appears to be in cattle of the control of the contro	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which asset to this Office action. The been received on	are rejected. are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement are acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ing, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the proved disapproved (see explanation). ppy has been received not been received.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial Number: 07/695,201 -2-

Art Unit: 1814

Applicant's telephone request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's amendments to the claims filed 5/24/93 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Mullis et al. (Reference AD, U.S. Patent No. 4,683,195) in view of Sutherland et al. and Kaledin et al. .

Mullis et al. disclose that amplification of nucleic acids by PCR allows the detection of very rare nucleic acids present in a large excess of other nucleic acids, methods for the amplification of nucleic acids and that detection of amplified nucleic acids is useful for the detection of genetic and

Serial Number: 07/695,201 -3-

Art Unit: 1814

infectious disease. They further disclose that PCR selectively synthesizes only the selected target DNA during amplification.

Sutherland et al. disclose methods for the use of fluorescent dyes including in particular ethidium bromide for measurement of polymerization of nucleic acids. They disclose that these dyes can be provided directly in the polymerization reaction and that the dyes have a greater fluorescence when bound to double stranded DNA than when either bound to single stranded DNA or unbound.

Kaledin et al. show in Table 3 that the minimum concentration of EtBr that inhibits *Thermus flavus* DNA polymerase is 5 µM and that half maximal inhibition requires 23 µM EtBr. Furthermore they teach that this is similar to the results found for other known polymerases. As such one of ordinary skill in the art would not have reasonably expected the levels of EtBr used by Sutherland et al. (1-5 µM, preferably 1.75 µM) to inhibit polymerization by Taq polymerase.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method of detecting polymerization by use of fluorescent dyes during a PCR amplification to detect the target nucleic acid of the amplification reaction because this method is very simple and minimizes sampling and handling errors (see Sutherland et al. column 16, lines 64-69).

In regards to Claim 10, the ordinary skilled artisan would have known that one could quantitate the amount of target DNA

Art Unit: 1814

originally present in the amplification reaction from the measured change in fluorescence by a simple comparison to a standard curve of the amount of fluorescence change produced by a given amount of initial DNA.

In regards to Claims 12-16, the use of optic fibers to continuously monitor the fluorescence of a solution is old and well known in the art and as such it would have been obvious to use one in order to monitor the synthesis of the target nucleic acids during the amplification reaction for the added simplicity of not having to remove aliquots of the reaction at various times.

In regards to Claims 17-22, as Sutherland et al. disclose that the fluorescent dye can be provided directly within the starting PCR buffer, it would have been obvious to include the dye within the buffer of a kit for detecting amplified target nucleic acids, such as the one disclosed by Mullis et al. for the added convenience of minimizing the amount of time needed to prepare the reaction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-4000.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 180